IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

PATIENT CARE ASSOCIATES LLC a/s/o D.R..

Plaintiff(s),

Civil Action No.: 2:13-cv-2522-CCC-JAD

v.

MICHAELS STORES INC., ABC CORP. (1-10) (said names being fictitious and unknown entities).

Defendant(s).

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Michael's Stores, Inc., (hereinafter "Michael's") hereby answers the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Patient Care Associates LLC ("Plaintiff") and asserts the following Affirmative Defenses.

THE PARTIES

- 1. With respect to the allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that Plaintiff holds itself out to be an ambulatory surgery center specializing in a wide array of surgical procedures and having its office located at 500 Grand Avenue, Englewood, NJ 07631. Michael's lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the remaining allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 2. Denied, as stated. By way of further response, Michael's is an arts and crafts specialty retailer in North America which, together with its subsidiaries, serves customers in the

State of New Jersey. By way of even further response, Michael's maintains its corporate headquarters at 8000 Bent Branch Drive, Irving, Texas 75063.

- 3. It is admitted that Michael's conducts business in Bergen County. The allegation that venue was properly laid in Bergen County, prior to the removal of this action to Federal Court, is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. By way of further response, appropriate venue for this Court in the Superior Court of New Jersey is no longer relevant as the case has been properly removed to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 4. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 5. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that D.R. is an enrollee in the self-funded group health benefit plan of Michael's. Except as so admitted, Michael's lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 6. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

- 7. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 8. Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 9. Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 10. Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 11. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that Plaintiff submitted claims for reimbursement. Except as so admitted, Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 12. Michael's admits that Plaintiff has demanded payment and demands payment herein of \$37,071.00, but denies that it is liable in that amount or any amount.
- 13. It is admitted that Plaintiff submitted one or more appeals for reconsideration of the claim. Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 14. Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

15. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

FIRST COUNT (VIOLATION OF ERISA)

- 16. Michael's repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 17. It is admitted that Plaintiff brings this action asserting a violation of Section 502(a) of ERISA. To the extent these allegations may be construed to allege or imply any liability against Michael's, they are denied.
- 18. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 19. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 20. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 21. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 22. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 23. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 24. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 25. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Michael's admits only that Plaintiff submitted claims for reimbursement. Except as so admitted, Michael's denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 26. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 27. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 28. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

29. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Michael's Stores, Inc., demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Michael's is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

SECOND COUNT (ERISA – BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY)

- 30. Michael's repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 31. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 32. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 33. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 34. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 35. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 36. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Michael's Stores, Inc., demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Michael's is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

THIRD COUNT (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION)

- 37. Michael's repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 38. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 39. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 40. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

41. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal

conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary,

Michael's denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Michael's Stores, Inc., demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's

Complaint plus other such relief to which Michael's is entitled as a matter of federal and state

law.

FOURTH COUNT

42. Michael's repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the

allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

43. Michael's is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations

contained within this paragraph of the Complaint. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary,

Michael's denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Michael's Stores, Inc., demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's

Complaint plus other such relief to which Michael's is entitled as a matter of federal and state

law.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP

Liberty View 457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230

Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

(856) 317-7100

Attorneys for Defendant

Michael's Stores, Inc.

BY:

s/Thomas Vecchio

Thomas Vecchio, Esquire

DATE:

May 10, 2013

8

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. The Complaint is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. and Plaintiff is limited to the remedies thereunder as against Michael's which are limited to payment of health benefits that were allegedly denied.
- 3. The Complaint is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. and Plaintiff's claims are pre-empted by ERISA.
- 4. Plaintiff has failed to exhaust the mandatory administrative remedies under the terms of the applicable health benefit plan. Therefore, this action is premature.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the express terms of the applicable health benefits plan.
- 6. Plaintiff seeks benefits that are not eligible for coverage according to the terms of the applicable health benefits plan.
 - 7. Michael's did not owe any legal or contractual duty to Plaintiff.
 - 8. Plaintiff lacks standing to assert the claims in the Complaint.
 - 9. Michael's did not violate any legal or contractual duty owed to Plaintiff
 - 10. Michael's acted reasonably and in good faith at all times.
- 11. Plaintiff's complaint is barred by the equitable Doctrines of Waiver, Laches, Unclean Hands and/or Estoppel.

WHEREFORE, Michael's Stores, Inc., demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Michael's is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP

Liberty View 457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 317-7100

Attorneys for Defendant Michael's Stores, Inc.

BY: s/Thomas Vecchio
Thomas Vecchio, Esquire

DATE: May 10, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas Vecchio, of full age, hereby certify that the original of the within Answer and

Affirmative Defenses has been electronically filed with the Clerk within the time and in the

manner prescribed by the Rules of Court and that a copy of the within pleading has been served

this date, via email and first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Andrew Bronsnick, Esquire Massood & Bronsnick

50 Packanack Lake Road East Wayne, NJ 07470

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the

foregoing statements are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

By: /s/Thomas Vecchio

Thomas Vecchio, Esquire

DATED: May 10, 2013